

Nakamaye's theorem.

X is a smooth projective variety of dimension n / $\mathbb{C}$.

Let L be a divisor with $\kappa(L) \geq 0$.

Define $B(L) := \bigcap_{m \geq 1} B_m(\text{Im} L)$ Stable base locus of L

$$B(L) = B_p(L) \text{ for } p \gg 0$$

Defn: The augmented base locus of L is the Zariski-closed set

$$B_+(L) := B(L - \varepsilon A)$$

for any ample A and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

Defn: Given a nef and big divisor L on X , the null locus $\text{Null}(L)$ of L is the union of all positive dimensional subvarieties $V \subseteq X$ with

$$(L^{\dim V} \cdot V) = 0.$$

Theorem [Nakamaye 2000]

If L is any big and nef divisor on X , then

$$B_+(L) = \text{Noll}(L).$$

Sketch:

- Realize $B_+(L)$ as the zero-locus of a multiplier ideal, by constructing a divisor with high multiplicity on $B_+(L)$, and multiplicity less than one outside
- Use Nadel's vanishing to get that the restriction of sections from X to the subscheme defined by the multiplier ideal is surjective.
- Study an irreducible component of $B_+(L)$ using the primary decomposition of multiplier ideals. Using R-R one can compare the dimensions of cohomological groups to get the result.

Proposition: Let L be a big divisor, A an ample $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X . Then the stable base locus $\mathbb{B}(L - \varepsilon A)$ is independent of ε , provided $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

If A' is a second ample divisor, then $\mathbb{B}(L - \varepsilon A) = \mathbb{B}(L - \varepsilon' A')$ for $0 < \varepsilon, \varepsilon' < 1$.

Finally, these loci only depend on the numerical class of L .

Proof:

- If $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, then $\mathbb{B}(L - \varepsilon_1 A) \subseteq \mathbb{B}(L - \varepsilon_2 A)$
- Fix $\delta > 0$ s.t. $A'' = A - \delta A'$ is ample.

$$\mathbb{B}(L - \varepsilon A) = \mathbb{B}(L - \varepsilon \delta A' - \varepsilon A'') \supseteq \mathbb{B}(L - \varepsilon \delta A')$$

- Given $P \equiv 0$, take $A' = A - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P$. Then $L + P - \varepsilon A = L - \varepsilon A'$

Lemma: Let L be a big and nef on X . Then $\text{Null}(L)$ is a Zariski-closed subset of X , and every irreducible component V of $\text{Null}(L)$ satisfies

$$(L^{\dim V} \cdot V) = 0.$$

Proof:

Let V be the closure of the union of $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ with $V_i \in \text{Null}(L)$

We need to show that $V \in \text{Null}(L)$.

Suppose that $(L^{\dim V} \cdot V) > 0$, so $\mathcal{O}_V(L)$ is big. Then for an ample divisor A in V , there is $p \gg 0$ s.t. $\mathcal{O}_V(pL - A)$ has a non-vanishing section. Take W to be the zero-locus of that section.

Take $T \neq W$, Then $\mathcal{O}_T(pL - A)$ has a non-van section, so $\mathcal{O}_T(L)$ is big.

Hence all $V_i \subseteq W$, contradicting that V is the closure.

Proof of Nakamaye's Theorem:

- $\text{Null}(L) \subseteq B_+(L)$.

If $(L^{\dim V} \cdot V) = 0$, then $L|_V$ is on the boundary of $\overline{\text{Eff}}(V)$, so given any ample divisor A and any $\varepsilon > 0$, $(L - \varepsilon A)|_V$ is outside the $\overline{\text{Eff}}(V)$.

If $V \notin B(L - \varepsilon A)$, then $\exists$ effective divisor $D \sim mL - kA$ s.t. $V \notin \text{Supp}(D)$.
Hence $mL - kA|_V \in \overline{\text{Eff}}(V)$,

- Realize $B_+(L)$ as the zero-locus of a multiplier ideal.

Fix A very ample s.t. $A - k_x$ is ample.
 Choose $a, p \gg 0$ s.t.

$$B_+(L) = B(aL - 2A) = B(|paL - 2pA|).$$

Choose $n+1$ general divisors $E_1, \dots, E_{n+1}$,
 and define

$$D = \frac{n}{n+1} (E_1 + \dots + E_{n+1})$$

Then $\text{mult}_x(D) \geq n$ if $x \in B_+(L)$, and
 if $x \in X \setminus B_+(L)$ we have that $J(D)$ is
 trivial.

Hence $\text{Zeroes}(J(D)) = B_+(L)$ set-theo.

- Use Nadel's vanishing.

Set $q = np$. We have that $D \equiv qaL - 2qA$.

Because L is nef and $A - K_X$ is ample, Nadel vanishing implies that

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL - qA) \otimes \mathcal{I}(D)) = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq qa.$$

Write $Z \subseteq X$ the subscheme defined by $\mathcal{I}(D)$.

Then

$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL - qA)) \rightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(mL - qA))$
is surjective for $m \geq qa$.

- $B_+(L) = B_+(|mL - qa|)$ for $m \geq qa$

Notice that $(m - qa)L + qaA$ is g.g., because it is 0-regular (Mumford regularity). This follows from Kodaira vanishing

$$(m - qa)L + (q - i)A = \underbrace{K_X}_{\text{ample}} + \underbrace{A - K_X}_{\text{nef}} + \underbrace{(m - qa)L + (q - i - 1)A}_{\text{ample.}}$$

$$(m - qa)L + qaA = (mL - qaA) - (qaL - 2qaA)$$

$$B_+(|mL - qaA|) \subseteq B_+(|qaL - 2qaA|) = B_+(|aL - 2A|) = B_+(L)$$

• Assume $V \subseteq \mathbb{B}_+^{\text{irreducible}}(L)$ and $V \notin \text{Nef}(L)$.

This means that $\mathcal{O}_V(mL)$ is big and nef,
and also $V \in \mathbb{B}_s(mL - qA)$ for $m \gg 0$.

It is enough to show that, for $m \gg 0$,
the restriction map

$$H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(mL - qA)) \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(mL - qA))$$

is non-zero.

This produces a section $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL - qA))$
s.t. $s|_V \neq 0$.

- For any fixed divisor M on X , the restriction map

$$H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(mL+M)) \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(mL+M))$$
 is non-zero for $m \gg 0$.

Take a primary decomposition of $\mathcal{I}_Z = \mathcal{J}(D)$. We want to construct $Y, W \subseteq X$ with $Y_{\text{red}} = V$, $\mathcal{I}_Z = \mathcal{I}_Y \cap \mathcal{I}_W$ and $V \cap W_{\text{red}} \subsetneq V$.

Take Y to be the $\mathcal{I}_V$ -primary component of $\mathcal{I}_Z$, and $\mathcal{I}_W$ the intersection of the rest of the ideals.

We have the SES

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y \cap W} \\ (s, 0) \mapsto 0$$

Twist by $\mathcal{O}_X(mL+M)$, it is enough to produce a section

$s \in \ker(H^0(Y, mL+M) \rightarrow H^0(Y \cap W, mL+M)) =: K_m$
 such that s_{red} is non-vanishing

$$K'_m = \ker(H^0(Y, mL+M) \rightarrow H^0(V, mL+M))$$

We need to show that $K_m \notin K'_m$.

Note that $h^0(Y \cap W, mL+M)$ grows at most like $m^{\dim Y \cap W}$, so the codimension of K_m in $H^0(Y, mL+M)$ is $O(m^{\dim V-1})$

On the other hand, consider

$$0 \rightarrow I_{V/Y}(mL+M) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(mL+M) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(mL+M) \rightarrow 0$$

$\mathcal{O}_V(L)$ is big and nef, so $h^0(V, mL+M) \geq C \cdot m^{\dim V}$

Also, $h^1(Y, I_{V/Y}(mL+M)) = O(m^{\dim V-1})$, so
 $\text{codim } K'_m = C \cdot m^{\dim V}$

Therefore K'_m cannot contain K_m . $\square$

Asymptotic $R-R + L$ nef

$$h^i(Y, \mathcal{F}(mL)) = O(m^{\dim Y - i})$$